Please Provide Contact Information:

Partcipant Details:	Guyana Forestry Commission
Contact Country:	Guyana
Contact Name 1:	James Singh
Title 2:	Commissioner of Forests
Contact Name 2:	Pradeepa Bholanath
Title 2:	Head, Planning & Development Division
Contact Email:	project.coordinator@forestry.gov
Alternate Email:	
Contact Telephone:	592-226-7271-4
Alternate Telephone:	
Submission Date:	8/15/2014

1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Introduction to the report, its main purpose and sections. Short description of FCPF support in country.

Guyana commenced its engagement with the FCPF in 2008 with the submission of a Readiness Plan Idea Note (R-PIN). With the FCPF's approval of the R-PIN, Guyana subsequently submitted a Readiness Package (R-Package), later renamed Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP), in February 2009. This was approved by the Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) in June 2009, making Guyana's R-PP one of the first three (3) to be approved. After a number of modifications and updates, the current version of Guyana's R-PP is the December 2012 version. In November 2010, Guyana was invited to be one of five pilot countries to explore the option of using a Multiple Delivery Partner (MDP) under the Readiness Fund of the FCPF. Guyana chose to use the IDB. On December 4, 2013, Guyana's FCPF Readiness Grant was approved by the Board of the Inter-American Development Bank, Guyana's delivery partner for FCPF funds. This grant is intended to support improvements in REDD+ readiness activities, including stakeholder consultations and the preparation of the Guyana's REDD+ Strategy. To date Guyana has not received funding from the FCPF to implement the activities outlined in the R-PP. However, work has commenced on the development and implementation of readiness activities using other sources of funding. Guyana has made significant progress in the implementation of activities outlined in the R-PP with other sources of funding and due to this, will be allocating the funds from the FCPF to the following components: Component 1a, 1b, 2b, 2c, 2d and 6.

2. SUMMARY OF REPORT

Summary of progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period -- key bullets only

Progress:

Guyana's implementation of REDD+ readiness activities has been guided by national processes such as the Low Carbon Development Strategy and Readiness Preparation Proposal, though funds from the FCPF were not yet accessed. One significant source of funding has been through the bilateral agreement between the Government of Norway and the Government of Guyana. The payments received through this bilateral agreement with Norway have been used to support activities within the framework of Guyana's Low Carbon Development Strategy (LCDS). This funding has supported the development of the Monitoring, Reporting and Verification System (MRVS), as well as strengthening of the required institutional arrangements. Other sources of funding have supported the development of the Reference Level (RL) and Forest Carbon Monitoring System. The activities linked to these sources of funding have had the most significant progress in implementation. There has been regular and ongoing stakeholder engagement on REDD+ implementation and activities related to REDD+ implementation in Guyana, targeting a wide cross section of stakeholder groups.

In the context of evolving agreements at UNFCCC level regarding MRV systems and methodologies for implementation, Guyana made significant progress in piloting methodologies and data collection techniques.

Key Achievements with focus on higher level results:

Over the review period, Guyana has compiled its Reference Level (RL) and will be making submission to the UNFCCC in 2014. Guyana has included in its RL both deforestation and forest degradation and developed its RL at a national scale to avoid issues of leakage and due to its relatively small size.

The MRVS Roadmap for Phase 1 was designed to guide the development of a MRV system for REDD+ in Guyana. The development of this roadmap considered the international requirements and national needs for the MRV system. It included a detailed capacity assessment based on the state of the existing national forest monitoring technical capabilities and the requirements for implementation of the MRV system in order to define a detailed plan to establish sustained MRV capacities within the country and to bridge the gap in capacities. The aim of the roadmap Phase 1 was to establish a comprehensive national system for monitoring, reporting and verifying forest carbon emissions resulting from deforestation and forest degradation in the country. Between 2009 and 2012, the roadmap was implemented during three different phases: National Strategy Formulation, Country Readiness Phase and Implementation Phase. This resulted in the development of a sustained and efficient national mechanism and institutional framework with competences for MRV at different levels, including capacities to perform forest area change assessment for historical periods and to perform carbon stock measurements.

Important issues /problems that arose during the reporting period:

As is a standard part of the IDB Project cycle, several conditions precendent to initial disbursement were instituted. These conditions have been instituted with the mandatory requirement of fulfillment before any activity can commence formally under the FCPF. However, some mandatory preconditions prior to the first disbursement are still pending on the part of the Government of Guyana which has added effectively 6 months of waiting time, before any FCPF implementation can commence.

Highlights of next steps in the following period:

Guyana is currently at the stage of fulfilling a number of preconditions to the IDB prior to the commencement of implementation of activities detailed in Guyana's R-PP. It is expected that implementation will commence in the last quarter of 2014. Following this, the activities below will be undertaken:

- Finalising of ToR and conducting of procurement process for key consultancies: Stakeholder Engagement and Communication, and SESA expert.
- Issue sub contract to National Toshaos' Council to commence building of capability to support the FCPF process.
- Establish key Committees and Working Groups including the National REDD+ Working Group that will oversee stakeholder engagements and communication/consultation efforts. This process will also involve the finalising of ToRs for each Committee and Working Group.

3. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD

With the commencement of implementation of REDD+ in Guyana, a number of institutional arrangements were put in place for the implementation of activities.

The Office of Climate Change (OCC) was established within the Office of the President (OP) to work across the Government to implement Guyana's Low Carbon Development Strategy (LCDS) and to be the supporting agency for the implementation of the requirements under the MoU between Guyana and Norway. OCC serves to bring together and align efforts already underway, and to coordinate efforts by multilateral and non-governmental organisations assisting Guyana's climate change agenda.

The REDD Secretariat (RS) has been established to facilitate the implementation of key technical REDD+ activities as outlined in the R-PP, and set out in the Low Carbon Development Strategy (LCDS) framework for which the GFC has responsibility for implementation. The RS functions as an operational unit of the GFC and works in collaboration with key stakeholders and committees. The Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification System (MRVS) Steering Committee and technical sub-committees were established at the commencement of development of this system. The purpose of the Steering Committee is to oversee. monitor and manage the progress made in implementation of MRVS roadmap activities. The MRVS Technical Committee provides technical guidance to the MRVS Steering Committee on related activities. The RS and related work of the GFC are audited through several processes including: Independent Forest Monitoring, MRVS third party verification, independent accuracy assessment, as well as related independent evaluations for EU FLEGT (Durham University, 2013; GFA Consulting Group, 2013). All reports are made public emanating from evaluations as well as reporting that would have informed evaluations Through ongoing stakeholder engagement and interaction, the views and further feedback from stakeholders on the LCDS, REDD+ implementation in Guyana and other areas such as the development and implementation of the MRVS are obtained and documented.

The OCC, under the guidance of the MSSC, continued its efforts at information sharing and raising awareness on the LCDS. In addition, initiatives by members of the MSSC, such as Conservation International (CI), Iwokrama and indigenous NGOs have also assisted awareness raising and information dissemination

The GoG has conducted REDD+ information, sensitisation, and awareness sessions from 2008 to 2012. These sessions included 27 community groups, villages and communities across Guyana, targeting forestdependent groups, women groups, loggers, miners, NGOs, Government agencies, and the public. Feedback from the attendees was gathered and incorporated in the latest version of the R-PP. The implications of REDD+ were also discussed through the LCDS consultation processes in 2009, which are publicly available on the LCDS website.

In 2013, twelve workshop clusters were held throughout Guyana: Mabaruma, Kwebana, Santa Rosa, Anna Regina, Parika, Annai, Kwakwani, Linden, Kamarang, Soesdyke and Georgetown. These sessions targeted communities, forest associations, miners, non-governmental organisations, government agencies, women and youth groups. A total of 90 communities and associations, 10 NGOs and 12 government agencies, totalling 356 individuals attended these workshops.

These sessions have been documented, including preparation for the sessions, information dissemination prior to the sessions and stakeholder feedback garnered from the sessions.

The design for the development of REDD+ strategy options clearly includes the activities that will address identified drivers of deforestation and forest degradation. The R-PP includes an assessment of the links between key drivers of deforestation, enhancement activities (strategy or potential actions) and links to REDD+ activities (GoG, 2012a).

The GoG has started some preliminary work on the development of strategy options under the financial support from the Guiana Shield Facility (GSF). The development of the REDD+ strategy options has followed a participatory approach with national level stakeholders, in order to prioritise and analyse key direct and indirect drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Guyana, as well as undertaking an initial validation and identification of potential activities to address these drivers. The expected emissions reductions from potential interventions have only been estimated at a qualitative level at this stage. At present, the national MRVS geo-referenced forest cover monitoring integrates various lavers of management data including indigenous titles and ownership, mining and forestry leases as well as agriculture lease holdings. Results-based payments issued by the Guyana-Norway agreement are matched to the national MRV system results. MRVS annual assessments are made public annually and are subject to a public review process on reported results.

In accordance with decision 12/CP.17 paragraph 13, Guyana has compiled a technical document outlining its RL for REDD+ and aims to submit this to the UNFCCC on a voluntary basis for consideration. There are two main components of the REL: (a) establishment of Guyana's historical emissions profile from the forestry sector and (b) the development of the proposed reference level. Here Guyana includes an overview of the data and methodologies used to estimate the historical emissions profile as well as details of how national circumstances are considered for developing a REL. The information presented is intended to be transparent, complete, consistent with UNFCCC guidance, accurate and guided by the most recent IPCC guidance and guidelines.

The methodology for the detection of forest change has been documented in the annual MRVS Interim Measures reports. An outline of improvements through time is included. In the last report (Year 3), mapping methods were developed to improve the detection and measurement of forest degradation around deforested sites. Other improvements included:

The FCMS developed a cost-effective sampling plan for data collection to estimate emissions factors across Guyana. The results of initial attempts of stratification by forest type showed no significant difference

measured REL/RL, if any :	lo tile
National Forest Reference Emission Level (REL)/Reference Level (RL) defined:	

This level is being finalised.

Number of tons reduced during the reporting period as compared to REL/RL:

This level is being finalised

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process: Source: <Select> Amount Provided: Guyana Norway Bilateral Agreement Currency 1.700.000.00 **Guiana Shield Facility** Currency 490.000.00

KTW Support	Currency		627,000.00
	•		
Amount of non-FCPF investments received f	or implementation of ER Pr	ograms (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant:	
Source:	<select></select>	Amount Provided:	
NA	C		
INA	Currency		
NA	Currency		
NA	Currency		
	Currency		
	Currency		

Level of multi-stakeholder participation and engagement in decision making processes related to emission reductions and forest resource management:

Please describe the process of engagement and consultation implemented, including describing the level of participation and engagement for the following stakeholder categories: Government Agencies, Indigenous Peoples, Other forest-dependent peoples, Women, Youth, Civil Society Organizations, Private Entities, Donors, and Others

In commencing implementation of REDD+ in Guyana and through the launching and implementation of the LCDS, the GoG has had continuous interaction with stakeholders. The GoG continues to conduct consultation and outreach activities to raise awareness, and engage stakeholders in a participatory REDD+ preparation process. The MSSC and other arrangements have been established to ensure transparent multi-stakeholder consultations, and enable the participation of all affected and interested stakeholders at all stages of the REDD+/LCDS process. This has included the protection of the rights of indigenous peoples, amongst other indicators(GoG and GoN, 2009b). Indigenous Peoples' representatives are members of all main coordinating committees that deal with LCDS & REDD+, specifically, the MSSC, the MRVS Steering Committee and the Communication and Outreach Committee, and have direct influence on the design and implementation of REDD+ and LCDS related activities. The main body thought which all coordination is carried out is the National Toshaos Council (NTC). The NTC is the democratically elected body tasked with the responsibility of representing the rights and views of Amerindian communities and villages at the national level. Key groups that have been targeted continue to include communities, forest associations, miners, non-governmental organisations, government agencies, women and youth groups. Since 2009, at cluster level, community level and agency level (private sector, civil society & government), stakeholder have continuously engaged. Special emphasis placed on the marginalised groups and reflected through various outreach reports, not only R-PP but enabling activities report as part of reporting to Norway.

Please describe these policy reforms:

As part of the new ministry's planning processes, MNRE sought to prepare a Strategic Framework for the Ministry for the period 2013-2018. The objectives of this report were to conduct a thorough review and analysis of the regulatory and institutional landscapes to help MNRE shape its strategy to address environmental and natural resource issues within the framework of Guyana's LCDS. The Strategic Framework makes a number of recommendations, including those that relate directly to the mining sector such as activities to improve reclamation of mined out areas, and initiatives to address impacts on deforestation and forest degradation from mining. The GGMC has also advanced work in developing and implementing Codes of Practice on Mining. The codes include those relating to avoiding environmental degradation form mining. GGMC is currently revising the codes of practice, e.g. on the use of mercury and wastewater management. The draft codes of practices have been reviewed. The drafts have also been shared with the mining community, so that they understand future compliance requirements by the GGMC and the Guyana Gold and Diamond Miners Association.

Strengthening the implementation of the Log Tracking System is also a high priority for Guyana. In this regard, the continued implementation of the National Log Tracking System and chain of custody programme, both of which are aspects of Guyana's current negotiation process with the EU on a FLEGT VPA (Forest Law Enforcement Governance and Trade, Voluntary Partnership Agreement), will likely result in the finalization of a bilateral agreement that certified forest legality for exports of forest products.

Emphasis ranging from the development of the timber potential of the forests to management of the multiple goods and services (including ecosystem services) for the national benefit remain pivotal to the mandate of the GFC. GFC's work programme is driven by the Forest Plan for the fulfilment of the policies promulgated for the management of Guyana's forest estate and the resources therein. One of the main programme areas of work of the forest sector is to improve added value activities locally. This will assist in creating a higher potential for carbon storage in long term wood products. This could also potentially reduce the pressure on forest resources as a higher value may result in reduced harvest levels.

Further, a key priority for the forest sector is the implementation of sustainable forest management methods of which Reduce Impact Logging (RIL) is a key aspect. RIL, among other advantages, is intended to lower collateral and incidental damage associated with logging including tree damage from tree felling and logging infrastructure impacts, such as skid trails. Reducing the incidental and collateral damage during tree felling by about 10% and the damage from skid trails by about 35% (avoiding mid-size trees during skidding), could reduce the annual emissions by about 13.5% each year. This translates to a reduction of about 430 thousand t CO2 per

3.2 PROGRESS AT THE OUTPUT and OUTCOME LEVEL (if any data available)

3.2.1. REDD Readiness Progress

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.):

Briefly describe progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:

Guyana begun the process of preparation of its R-Package with support from WWF. This was initiated with the development of a Terms of Reference for a consultant to prepare the R-Package. The TOR outlined: The scope of work of the R-Package must be conducted at the national level and encompass all readiness preparation activities (a total of nine corresponding subcomponents that mirror the activities in the national R-PP) to the extent that progress has been made. Particular emphasis must be placed on assessing progress made to date in the development and implementation of Guyana's Monitoring, Reporting and Verification System and work on Reference Level (RL) for REDD+, for which there has been significant progress made in both areas. It is expected that while all components of Guyana's R-PP will be assessed, cognisance must be taken of the fact that these components are at varying stages of implementation.

Once the consultant was contracted, a priority activity was that of garnering stakeholder feedback on the process to date. This feedback as obtained through the use of a survey, the results of which are currently being analysed. Along with this, progess in implementation is being measured against criterai developed by the FCPF. The R

OUTPUT LEVEL

OOTFOT LEVEL					
Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-com	ponents have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation				
Grant (>3.4 million USD)					
Component Support from FCPF (Yes/No)					
Component 1. Readiness Organization and Consultation					
1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Yes				
1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach Yes					
Component 2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation					

2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	No
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Yes
2c. Implementation Framework	Yes
2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	Yes
Component 3. REL/RL	
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	No
Component 4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards	
4a. National Forest Monitoring System	No
4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	No

Level of implementation of R-PP as a whol	le:
Please describe the current R-PP	% Completed:
Component 1a- 90%	·
Component 1b- 65%	
Component 2a- 90%	
Component 2b- 70%	
Component 2c- 65%	
Component 2d- 40%	
Component 3- 95%	
Component 4a- 100%	
Component 4b- 80%	

Planned Milestones:	Level of Achievement:	Tracking:
Establishment of REDD+ Implementation Arrangements(1a)	Fully functioning and cross sectorial institutional framework	Significant progress
Develop a Reference Scenario	Guyana's Proposal on Reference Level for REDD+	Progressing well, further development required
	being prepared for submission to the UNFCCC in the last quarter of 2014.	Further development required
Design a Monitoring System (4a)	A Monitoring Reporting & Verification System for	Not yet demonstrating progress
	REDD+ established and fully operational, with continual improvements via capacity	O Not Applicable
	building as well as exploration and utilisation of new and improved	
	technologies, e.g. use of high resolution 5m satellite imagery to measure forest	
	change.	

3.2.1. CONTINUED Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in %

RF Grant - disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate

Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage:

Select Actual
Disbursement Rate

Tracking

RF Grant - actual disbursement
rate compared to planned
disbursement rate

Between 10 and 25% variance

Between 25 and 40% variance

More than 40% variance

Not Applicable

Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage:					
	Select Actual				
	Disbursement Rate	Tracking			
R-PP Budget - disbursement rate v					
planned disbursement rate		Up to 10% variance with plans Between 10 and 25% variance Between 25 and 40% variance More than 40% variance Not Applicable			

3.2.1. REDD Progress Levels - Continued

Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement (additional grant of up to \$5 million). Under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National ReDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

The level of achievement of planned milestones according to the Readiness grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement. This is qualitatively expressed with a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, "Non Applicable" can be selected.

The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework, The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

		Overall Progress	Progress Aga	inst Annual Targets	
Sub-Component 1a					Tracking*
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
1a - National REDD+ Management Arrangements Purpose: setting-up national readiness	Support the establishment of a functional REDD Secretariat		Progressive building of capacity of the REDD Secretariat in administrative and technical areas of REDD+ readiness.	Contracting of three additional technical officers of the REDD Secretariat. Conducing of 6 training sessions on forest area change assessment & forest carbon stock assessment.	
management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies		With the commencement of implementation of the R-PP in 2014, the NRWG will be convened.	Drafting of TOR for NRWG. Finalisation of composition of NRWG.	TOR developed & reviewed by FEPF. Composition of NRGW finalised.	
Assessment Criteria: (i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feetback and grievance redress mechanism	Development and establishment of a national conflict resolution strategy		Review of existing legislation & related structures that address conflict resolution in Guyana.	Genefield relevant legistion & related structures that address coeffict resolution in Guyana.	
			SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS		

Sub-Component 1b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		
Sub-component 10	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking
	Outreach Strategy and Action Plan	Traff Communication & Outrach Strategy developed and currently being gildered at the level of communities and other stakeholder groups.		Stakeholder fraggement Flas compiled in draft and addresses a number of important areas include geopropriate communication techniques, development of user friendly and culturally appropriate outreach materials, and strategic approaches to stakeholder engagement.	
engagement of key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information		during outreach sessions.	areas of REDD+ readiess including MRVS, RL, REDD+ Governance areas	Materials compiled and used during 3 rounds of stakeholder engagement resolution come the period 2012: 2014, targeting forest based communities across the administrative regions of Guyana.	
		Materials disseminated through various media including the GFC Forest Stations, Outreach Consultants, internet and television.	Distribution of materials to 20 communities across Guyana.	Distributed materials to over 30 communities	
		PROGRES	SING WELL, FURTHER DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED		

Sub-Component 2a	Overall Progress		Progres	Progress Against Annual Targets	
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking
	Assessment of Land Use, Forest Policy and Governance	Forest Policy and Plan revised	Finalising of National Land Use Plan in 2013	National Land Use Plan finalised in 2013.	
Governance Purpose: identify key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable forest management, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks Assessment Ciretria: (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct an indirect drivers/pariers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD a activities; (iv)					
actions plans to address natural resource rights, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy					
			SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS		

		Overall Progress	Progress Aga	Progress Against Annual Targets	
Sub-Component 2b	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking
2b: REDD+ Strategy Options Purpose: Develop a set of policies and programs for addressing the drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation Assessment Criteria: (i) selection and prioritization of REDD+ strategy options; (ii) feasibility assessment; (iii) implications for strategy options on existing sectoral policies	Options	Same performance where the development of strategy options under the financial apport from the Guisans blaff exhipt (GSI). The development of the REDD-strategy options has followed a participatiny approach with national level stakefords: strategy from the identification and analysis of controlled and stakefords: strategy from the identification and analysis of Guigera, as well as the initial validation of potential activities to address these drivers.	Secretification of a REDO-strategy Options though stakeholder engagement, for implementation	Feasible strategy options determined through stakeholder engagement sessions.	
	Design and implementation of REDD+ Pilot projects			Three Community based REDO+ Pilot projects implemented. Achievements of these projects as follows: Community able to identify main drivers of forest change within their area; Community able to identify attentive livelihood options; Exploration of feasibility of rehabiliation of mined out areas.	
	Examine/Assess REDD + Strategy Options		ability to Reduce emissions; Deliver economic development and Deliver social development.	3 options identified as follows: 1. Community projects to reclamation/re- vegetation or afforest abandoned mining sites; 2. Update the National Mining Esploration Map and increase mentioring of mining activities; 3. Jampowed institutional coordination for the timing of forestry and mining permits.	
		PROGRES	SSING WELL, FURTHER DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED		

	Overall Progress		Progress Aga	inst Annual Targets		
Sub-Component 2c	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking	
c: Implementation Framework urpose: Set out credible and transparent stitutional, economic, legal and overnance arrangements necessary to mplement REDD+ strategy options	Legislation and Regulations	Guyana LCDS developed around existing legislations and regulatory requirement. Existing regulations and legislative framework support work on REDD-including Mining and Forest LLws, Protected Areas Legislation, and Environmental Protection Regulations.		Stratgic Plan and M&E Framework compiled.		
Assessment Creatise () indeption and unpermentation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation; (iii) benefit sharing mechanism; (iv) national REDDs registry and system monitoring REDDs activities	Guidelines for Implementation	Aspects of the benefits sharing system developed in Guyana and is being policite teated. This is referred to the "Open Free-hashman under Guyana ECD. This framework defines carbon rights and Financing modellines and is with the state of the Money on payment for forest acrbon services. Appeted of the grievance mechanism are in piace although decembrated across natural resources agencies and the Ministry of Americalan Affairs.	Title d'arfiel for the development of a Benefits Stating Mechanism for Goyann. The 17th Called the following states to be conducted: Examine and Assess existing brenits Sharing mechanisms and identify these applicable in EU/CDF. Freestral apper on the requirements by international standards/regulations for benefits sharing and the options in the REIDC construct, Design/Statishian anguitable benefits sharing mechanism for REIDC+ in Guyana.	TOR reviewed by the RCPF		
	Benefit Sharing Mechanism	Sales aspect of benefits sharing system developed and underwent two nounced occumizations with manufacturing proups, specifically the hastonal forbiance Council. Options are being developed for benefits sharing deciction making and is under review by the OCC for further consideration at the MSSC.	3rd Draft of Opt in Mechanism that outlines the benefits sharing sharing framework for Indigenous villages.	hed shaft completed and reviewed by key stakeholders including the NTC and overall natural resources tagencies.		
	National REDD Registry and System Monitoring	National government forest come monitoring developed under Gujenu's MWV System. This imprises severous layers of management data including indigenous tatles and ownerships, mining and forestry lesses as well as approximate lesses holdings. The national MMVs contains comprehensive information on location of land holding, convership and management rights, as well as community level MMVs information and deverse of though a well as community level MMVs information and deverse of though a result of the contains of the contain	area change.	3 annual assessments of forest area change completed and independently werfled,		
		FUE	RTHER DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED			
		FOR	THE SETTEMENT REQUIRED			

		Overall Progress		Progress Aga	ainst Annual Targets		
Sub-Component 2d	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Targ	et	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking	
2d: Social and Environmental Impacts Purpose: Ensure compiliance with the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) Assessment Criteria: (i)) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues; (ii)		level in a collaborative CI/DB/GoG Project. This process was done through a consultative process.	TOR developed, outlining the following activities: And Baseline; Preparing an Environmental & Socia Framework; Conducting Analytical and Diagnostic TOR developed, outlining the following activities:	al Management : Studies.	TOR reviewed by FOFF TOR reviewed by FOFF		
REDD+ strategy design with respect to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework	and assessments	in Guyana and also covers important aspects of forest. These include: Environmental Protection Act 1996, the accompanying ESIA Regulations and	studies; Social studies; Institutional studies; Au- studies; Social studies; Institutional studies; Analywith with World Bank and IDB Safeguard and Disclosur the Common Approach.	sis of compliance			
		FUR	THER DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED				

Component 3	Overall Progress		Progress Ag	Progress Against Annual Targets		
Components	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking	
R-PP Component 3 - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels Purpose: Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL Assessment Criteria: (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii) use of historical data, and	Design reference scenario model in readiness framework	Stakeholder engagement for the development of a Reference Level for Guyara Conducted. Feasible options were considered for setting for Guyara's Rt for REDD+.	Guyana's Proposal on RL for REDD+ for submission to the UNFCCC drafted.	Guyant's Proposal on RL for REDO+to the UNFCCC to be submitted by the 3rd quarter of 2014		
adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and consistency with UNFCCC/IPCC guidance and guidelines	Develop reference models	Toll developed, cutting the execution of following tasks: Four modules retentively modes with provide aggregate estimates of demand on supply for land in the four les y sectors. Foreity, infrastructure, agriculture, and moring. These modes will be developed on greathest patients making. These modes is the developed one greathest patients, and they will have several supply that the test leg., the Guyeas Foreity will be exercised to the control of the great several supply that the proposal suggests developing new exconnentire. estimates of demand, while for the mining agriculture sectors, the demand and supply relationships will be abused on reasonable exconneric assumption drawn from local sources, the Iterature and international emplored arready and international employers are already and international employers. It is required for the suppose a land use to making the proposed and use changes over the future projection pend dell occur.		Submission of Guyana's Proposal on RL for REDO+ to the UNYCCC by 3rd quarter of 2014.		
	Conduct Independent assessment	Framework for assessment in draft and will be done under UNFCCC framework	Independent assessment of Guyana's Proposal on RL	Framework for independent assessment of Guyana's R.f for REDD+ developed.		
			SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS			

	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		
Sub-Component 4a	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	Tracking
	Establish data and information framework	Completion of annual reporting for the periods: 1990 to 2000 1990 to 2		Tall dataset for Year4 Complete and assessment has commenced with planned completion at end of 2014.	
	Develop key capacities to execute MRV	In the commercement of activities for the MRMS the CEC county to build	Continued capacity building for staff of the REDD Screenstart in areas of forest area change assument and monitoring, forest carbon stock assessment and monitoring, uncertainty assumment, reference level, non-carbon aspects, and other related areas.	S capacity building sessions planned, targeting 20 staff.	
	Execute MRV on routine basis		findings of Year 4 forest area change assessment.	3 anual Accuracy Assessments & Independent Verification completed and will be conducted for Year 4. Data collection currently ongoing for accuracy assessment for Year 4.	
			SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS		

Sub-Component 4b	Overall Progress		Progress Ago	Tracking	
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
lb: Information System for Multiple	Identification of Relevant Non-Carbon	The GoG has undertaken a number of initiatives to explore the role of	3 main non- cabon options identified through multi-stakeholder	Assessment of feasibility conducted through technical review and stakeholder	
			process.	workshops in first quarter of 2014.	
and Safeguards	Issues	Schemes.			
urpose: Specify the non-carbon aspects		A number of studies have been undertaken with support from donors such as through a study funded by ITTO (Bynoe et al., 2011a, b, GFC and ITTO, 2011a,			
rioritized for monitoring by the country		b); support from KfW and CI (2011-2012) followed up this work and			
ssessment Criteria: (i) identification of		supported the development of a project to prioritise ecosystem services in			
elevant non-carbon aspects, and social		discussion with national stakeholders, and evaluate the requirements for			
nd environmental issues; (ii) monitoring,		integrating the monitoring system (GFC and Winrock International, 2014).			
eporting and information sharing; (iii)		Through the GSF funding (2012-2014), Guyana was able to build on the previously completed work on the exploration of co-benefits for Guyana			
nstitutional arrangements and capacities –		(Netzer et al., 2014).			
Safeguards		The MRV, through assessments of the inclusion of non-carbon benefits will			
		evaluate means by which environmental and social monitoring can be			
		Incorporated into the MRV System in accordance with the national			
		legislation.			
	Monitoring, Reporting and		Main ecosystem service system for incorporation in MRVS identified:	Assessment report completed: Identification of Non-Carbon Ecosystem Services	
	Monitoring, Reporting and Information Sharing		fresh water, biodiversity and ecotourism.	for Integration into Guyana's National MRVS, through collaboration between GFC	
	and macion staring		mean water, abouterary and ecotourism.	& WI. This report discusses the feasibility of and methodologies for establishing	
				baselines and for monitoring of ecosystem services beyond forest carbon.	
		Process discussing and sharing information on non-carbon aspects has been			
		participatory. This has been achieved through training sessions, as well as			
		workshop to inform development of monitoring approaches for non-carbon			
		aspects. Sub national level activities have main streamed non carbon benefits and these are at an early operational stage. Reporting on rural			
		livelihoods, conservation of biodiversity and protected areas, governance			
		aspects including efforts on EITI, IFM and EU FLEGT are mainstreamed into			
		annual reporting structure of REDD+ in Guyana whereby three annual report			
		have been completed to date, publicly released, and independently verified.			
	Institutional Arrangements and		Determination of responsibility for monitoring of water quality at 2	3 agencies identified: GFC at the level of the MRVS and EPA for prevention and	
	Capacities – Multiple Benefits and		levels: that of the MRVS and for prevention and control of pollution,	control of pollution, assessment of the impact of economic development on the environment and the sustainable use of natural resources. Areas of collaboration	
	Safeguards	defined. Parties with roles to play include government, academia, environmental NGOs and indigenous and forest dependent Communities.	assessment of the impact of economic development on the environment and the sustainable use of natural resources.	being explored.	
		ermonienta rocci and magenous and rocest dependent communities.	ermionisent and the Justimuse use of historia resources.	being explored.	
		PROGRES	SING WELL FURTHER DEVELOPMENT REQUIRED		

^{*}This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework, The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

3.2.3. Engagement of stakeholders to sustain or enhance livelihoods of local communities and to conserve biodiversity within the approach to REDD+

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please describe indicators related to biodiversity conservation and forest community livelihood development included in the ER Program if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework indicator 3.A.):

Amount provided:	Currency
Please describe how these funds	Not Relevant
target biodiversity and forest	
community livelihood	
development:	

Please provide relevant examples on the inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.):

Examples of inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+:

The abundance of intact forests in Guyana provides biological corridors important for sustaining biodiversity. The richness of faunal groups in Guyana is very high compared to other countries and regions in the same hemisphere.

Landscape beauty, flora and fauna diversity, and traditional knowledge are all ecosystem services closely linked to eco-tourism. Of these, flora and fauna diversity are the most readily and directly quantifiable. Landscape beauty cannot be directly quantified; however, indirect metrics such as number of tourists/revenue on an annual basis may be used as an indirect measure. Eco-tourism focused on highlighting Traditional Knowledge (TK) and ways of life is another, currently unmeasured, aspect of eco-tourism in Guyana, which could similarly be indicated by tourism statistics.

OUTPUT LEVEL

Number of examples of actions where Ips, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):

#:	Please describe these actions on enhanced livelihoods and BD conservation, and
#:	restoration where Ips, CSO, and local communities participate actively:

There are a number of initiatives that have been executed through which IPs, CSOs, local communities and other stakeholder groups have been engaged. Among these are: 1. Implementation of sessions on Sustainable Forest Management In Guyana- Overview of the revised National Forest Policy Statement, the revised National Forest Plan, Code of Practice for Harvesting Operations and and aspects on REDD+ & FCPF in Guyana over the period September to November 2012. A total of nineteen (19) workshop clusters that were held throughout Guyana for communities and regional stakeholders. A total of 124 communities/associations and 50 regional stakeholders, totalling 564 individuals attended these workshops. There were 169 females and 395 males in attendance. Sessions were held in New Amsterdam, Orealla, Bartica, Mabaruma, Port Kaituma, Moruca, Charity, Anna Regina, Kwakwani, Lethem, Shulinab, Annai, Moraikobai, Kamarang, Lethem, Linden Soesdyke Highway, Mahdia and Georgetown (2). 2. Implementation of sessions on Overview of Guyana's Monitoring Reporting & Verification System (MRVS), REDD+ Activities and the LCDS, & EU Forest Law Enforcement Governance and Trade (FLEGT) in Guyana over the period April to June 2013. twelve (12) workshop clusters held throughout Guyana for communities, forest associations, miners, non-governmental organisations, government agencies and other stakeholders. A total of 90 communities and associations, 10 NGOs and 12 government agencies, totalling 356 individuals attended these workshops. Of this total, 249 were males and 107 were females. Sessions were held in Mabaruma, Kwebana, Santa Rosa, Anna Regina, Parika, Annai, Kwakwani, Kinden, Kamarang, Soesdyke and Georgetown (2). 3. Implementation of sessions on Guyana's LCDS, REDD+ Activities and the Monitoring Reporting & Verification System (MRVS) over the period May to July 2014. Ten (10) workshop clusters held in Regions 1, 2, 5, 7, 8 and 9 for communities and regional stakeholders. A total of 352 persons representing 72 communities, 5 associations, and 5 regional bodies attended these workshops. of the total attendees, 246 were males and 106 were female. Sessions were held in Moraikobai, Mahdia, Lethem, Shulinab, Annai, Kamarang, Mabaruma, Moruca, Charity and Mainstay.

Number of IPs, REDD training programs (FG				having been successfully train Not yet den Not Applicat	
Please list the training(s)	Duration	# of pa	articipants	Rating]
conducted:	(#of days)	# of men	# of women		
Sustainable Forest	2	395	169		
Management In		249	107		
Guyana: Overview of		246	106		
the revised National				1	
Forest Policy					
Statement 2011, the					
revised National				L	

Frequency of meetings of stakeholder engagement platforms (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):				
Frequency:	Rating			
Biannual				
	1			

Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.b.):

Yes/No:

Please describe these activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities:

There are multiple forest benefits arising from forest conservation, in addition to the carbon stocks, such as biodiversity, food production, watershed protection, prevention of floods, soil erosion and landslides. The provision of these ecosystem services has the potential to further improve the livelihoods of Guyanese.

The Roadmap of the MRVS Phase 1 details the exploration of non-carbon ecosystem

Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at conserving biodiversity (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.c.)?

Yes/No: Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:

Through the conducting of the SESA this will serve to identify, and integrate into the REDD+ Strategy, the key environmental, social, legal and policy dimensions of REDD+, inclusive of biodiversity conservation. The SESA will serve to inform aspects of biodiversity conservation.

Does your country R-Package and/or ER Program include SESA, an operational Grievance Mechanism, and an ESMF that captures SESA results (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.d.)?

Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
Yes	Through the institutional arrangements and processes outlined in the R-PP, MoU, and the RGDP, several multi-stakeholder processes provide the platform to confer grievances, for instance at the sector agency level for natural resources management. One of the formal expected outcomes of the institutional arrangements is the development of an appropriate feedback mechanism and a conflict resolution mechanism (GoG, 2012a). The FCPF Readiness Grant will support the implementation of a national conflict resolution/grievance mechanism for REDD+, which will be based on existing legislations, structures and systems, and will include an assessment of existing formal and informal feedback and grievance redress mechanisms (IDB, 2013). The SESA has not yet been undertaken. The SESA is designed to support institutional strengthening and engagement of relevant stakeholders, to identify potential environmental and social impacts of the proposed REDD+ strategy and to support the REDD+ implementation through the design of the Environmental Social and Management Framework (ESMF).

3.2.4 Knowledge Sharing

No		
Yes/No:		ort has not yet been received. However, the following 3 project intervetnions conducted, reaching the following persons:
Yes/No	1	Sustainable Forest Management In Guyana- Overview of the revised
	2	Overview of Guyana's Monitoring Reporting & Verification System (MRVS),
	3	Guyana's LCDS, REDD+ Activities and the Monitoring Reporting &
	4	

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any?				
Overall number by	Please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the			
product:	reporting period:			

Knowledge Product 1:	
# Total People Reached	564
# of Men	395
# of Women	169
Knowledge Product 2:	
# Total People Reached	356 -250 men and 106 women
# of Men	
# of Women	
Knowledge Product 3:	
# Total People Reached	352 - 246 men and 106 women
# of Men	
# of Women	
Knowledge Product 4:	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	

Have some experts of	of your country participated	in any South-Sou	th learning activities? If yes, how many?
Yes/No	List the South-South	# Men	# Women
Yes	Bilateral Meeting on		1
Yes	Forest Carbon Partnership	1	
Yes	3rd REDD+ Steering	1	
Yes	South-South Exchange "Developing REDD+ National Strategies" workshop, July30 to August 1, 2014, Quito, Ecuador.		1

3.2.2. Key Elements of performance based payment systems for emission reductions generated from REDD+ activities

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please b	riefly specify:	
Are carbon accounting, programmatic elements and pricing operati	ng as planned in your pilot, if relevant?	
	Tracking	
Free text		
	 Significant progress Progressing well, further development Further development required Not yet demonstrating progress Not Applicable 	
Is the benefit sharing scheme being implemented according to plans	s within your pilot, if relevant?	
	Track ing	
Free text	Significant progress Progressing well, further development required Not yet demonstrating progress Not Applicable	nent requi
Percentage and/or amount of monetary benefits shared with benef	iciaries in approved pilot, if relevant?	
	Tracking	
Free text	 Significant progress Progressing well, further development Further development required Not yet demonstrating progress Not Applicable 	

OUTPUT LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:			
Has your country	Has your country submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?		
Yes/No	Please briefly describe the content of these early ideas or ER-Program:		
Yes/No	No		

Has your country signed an ERPA?			
Has your country	submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?		
Yes/No	Please briefly describe the content of this ERPA:		
Yes/No	No		

Amount and date of disbursements for ER Program according to plans, if relevant:				
Date format:	mm/dd/yyyyy		<s< th=""><th>elect></th></s<>	elect>
Date:		Amount provided:	Cı	urrency
Date:		Amount provided:	Cı	urrency
Date:		Amount provided:	Cı	urrency

4. Issues Challenges and Risks

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

Issue, Challenge, Risk	Actions to overcome, Adjustments to plan
Financial	Fulfilling pre conditions set by the IDB in extended timeline to trigger first disbursement.
Operational	Support work of the National Toshaos' Council in FCPF areas. Prioritise disbursement to NTC from initial tranche.

5. Main Lessons Learned

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learnt since the beginning of the readiness process. As this is a semi-annual report, it is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learning documents, and/or events developed and dealing with issues of particular interest with respect to readiness of carbon funding under REDD+.

The following are the main lessons learned to date:

- Establishing a national MRVS is an essential starting point to building REDD+ readiness programme as it synthesizes historic and currendrivers of forest cover change that is needed to inform all other areas of REDD+ readiness.
- Addressing deforestation as well as forest degradation is necessary to have a complete system of reporting on forest carbon emission and removals. Reporting on deforestation alone is necessary but not sufficient and may lead to an underestimation of emissions.
- To build ownership in the process it is advisable to share project implementation responsibility on key areas such as stakeholder engagement with relevant stakeholders who have access to main stakeholder groups. Guyana has sought to do this by sub contracts out of the FCPF grant being made to the National Toshaos' Council and other groups.
- Building cross sectoral capabilities is essential to sustaining efforts in REDD+.
- Establishing cooperation agreement with donors working on REDD+ adds opportunities to fast track areas of REDD Readiness which has allowed Guyana to advance in several areas without having received FCPF funds.
- Creating new structures to address aspects of REDD+ for which existing structures are functional would leave to redundancies and duplication. This may be the case of implementing a new SESA framework when an existing ESIA framework in country may work with some modifications made if necessary.
- It has been Guyana's experience that creating a new, additional and separate framework for REDD+ policy is not the most appropriate/feasible/workable option, but rather integrating REDD+ within existing structures has helped to ensure the cost effectiveness of REDD+, the sustainability of REDD+ in the long term, and the efficiency in delivery of REDD+ activities.